# STAT 5010 Tutorial 2<sup>\*</sup>

#### Oct.~2022

## Definitions

1. A parametric family  $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is called an *exponential family* if and only if

$$\frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\nu}(\omega) = \exp\left\{\left[\eta(\theta)\right]^{\tau} T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\right\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where  $\exp\{x\} = e^x$ , T is a random p-vector with a fixed positive integer p,  $\eta$  is a function from  $\Theta$  to  $\mathcal{R}^p$ , h is a nonnegative Borel function on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , and  $\xi(\theta) = \log\{\int_{\Omega} \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^{\tau}T(\omega)\}h(\omega)d\nu(\omega)\}$ 

2. In an exponential family, consider the reparameterization  $\eta = \eta(\theta)$  and

$$f_{\eta}(\omega) = \exp\left\{\eta^{\tau} T(\omega) - \zeta(\eta)\right\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where  $\zeta(\eta) = \log \{\int_{\Omega} \exp \{\eta^{\tau} T(\omega)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega)\}$ . This is the *canonical form* for the family, which is not unique. The new parameter  $\eta$  is called the *natural parameter*. The new parameter space  $\Xi = \{\eta(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ , a subset of  $\mathcal{R}^p$ , is called the *natural parameter space*. An exponential family in canonical form is called a *natural exponential family*. If there is an open set contained in the natural parameter space of an exponential family, then the family is said to be of *full rank*.

- 3. A measurable function of X, T(X), is called a *statistic* if T(X) is a known value whenever X is known, i.e., the function T is a known function.
- 4. Let X be a sample from an unknown population  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of populations. A statistic T(X) is said to be *sufficient* for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  (or for  $\theta \in \Theta$  when  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a parametric family) if and only if the conditional distribution of X given T is known (does not depend on P or  $\theta$ ).
- 5. Let T be a sufficient statistic for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . T is called a *minimal sufficient* statistic if and only if, for any other statistic S sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is a measurable function  $\psi$  such that  $T = \psi(S)$  a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$
- 6. A statistic V(X) is said to be *ancillary* if its distribution does not depend on the population P and *first-order ancillary* if E[V(X)] is independent of P.
- 7. A statistic T(X) is said to be *complete* for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if, for any Borel f, E[f(T)] = 0 for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  implies f(T) = 0 a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$ . T is said to be *boundedly complete* if and only if the previous statement holds for any bounded Borel f.

### **Propositions and Theorems**

1. If  $\eta_0$  is an interior point of the natural parameter space, then the m.g.f.  $\psi_{\eta_0}$  of  $P_{\eta_0} \circ T^{-1}$  is finite in a neighborhood of 0 and is given by

$$\psi_{\eta_0}(t) = \exp\left\{\zeta \left(\eta_0 + t\right) - \zeta \left(\eta_0\right)\right\}$$

2. (The factorization theorem) Suppose that X is a sample from  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of probability measures on  $(\mathcal{R}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$  dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$ . Then T(X) is sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if there are nonnegative Borel functions h (which does not depend on P) on  $(\mathcal{R}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$  and  $g_P$  (which depends on P) on the range of T such that

$$\frac{dP}{d\nu}(x) = g_P(T(x))h(x).$$

- 3. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  contains p.d.f.'s  $f_P$  w.r.t. a  $\sigma$ -finite measure and that there exists a sufficient statistic T(X) such that, for any possible values x and y of X,  $f_P(x) = f_P(y)\phi(x, y)$  for all P implies T(x) = T(y), where  $\phi$  is a measurable function. Then T(X) is minimal sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- 4. If P is in an exponential family of full rank with p.d.f.'s given as in Definition. 2, then T(X) is complete and sufficient for  $\eta \in \Xi$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Dept. of Stat., CUHK. TA: YX

- 5. (Basu's theorem) Let V and T be two statistics of X from a population  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . If V is ancillary and T is boundedly complete and sufficient for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , then V and T are independent w.r.t. any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- 6. A complete and sufficient statistic is also minimal sufficient. However, a minimal sufficient statistic is not necessarily complete.

## Question 1

1. Let X and Y be two random variables such that Y has the binomial distribution with size N and probability  $\pi$  and, given Y = y, X has the binomial distribution with size y and probability p. Suppose that  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $\pi \in (0, 1)$  are unknown and N is known. Show that (X, Y) is minimal sufficient for  $(p, \pi)$ .

Solution: See the handout later.

## Question 2

1. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables from  $P_{\theta}$ , the uniform distribution  $U(\theta, \theta + 1), \theta \in \mathcal{R}$ . Prove that  $T = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is minimal sufficient.

Solution: See the handout later.

## **Proofs for Some propositions**

• Prop. 3.

*Proof.* From Bahadur (1957), there exists a minimal sufficient statistic S(X). The result follows if we can show that  $T(X) = \psi(S(X))$  a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$  for a measurable function  $\psi$ . By the factorization theorem, there are Borel functions  $g_P$  and h such that  $f_P(x) = g_P(S(x))h(x)$  for all P. Let  $A = \{x : h(x) = 0\}$ . Then P(A) = 0 for all P. For x and y such that  $S(x) = S(y), x \notin A$  and  $y \notin A$ ,

$$f_P(x) = g_P(S(x))h(x)$$
  
=  $g_P(S(y))h(x)h(y)/h(y)$   
=  $f_P(y)h(x)/h(y)$ 

for all P. Hence T(x) = T(y). This shows that there is a function  $\psi$  such that  $T(x) = \psi(S(x))$  except for  $x \in A$ . It remains to show that  $\psi$  is measurable. Since S is minimal sufficient, g(T(X)) = S(X) a.s.  $\mathcal{P}$  for a measurable function g. Hence g is one-to-one and  $\psi = g^{-1}$ . The measurability of  $\psi$  follows from Theorem 3.9 in Parthasarathy (1967).

#### • Prop. 4.

*Proof.* Obviously, T is sufficient. Suppose that there is a function f such that E[f(T)] = 0 for all  $\eta \in \Xi$ . Then,

$$\int f(t) \exp \left\{ \eta^{\tau} t - \zeta(\eta) \right\} d\lambda = 0 \quad \text{ for all } \eta \in \Xi,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $(\mathcal{R}^p, \mathcal{B}^p)$ . Let  $\eta_0$  be an interior point of  $\Xi$ . Then

$$\int f_{+}(t)e^{\eta^{\tau}t}d\lambda = \int f_{-}(t)e^{\eta^{\tau}t}d\lambda \quad \text{for all } \eta \in N(\eta_{0}),$$

where  $N(\eta_0) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{R}^p : ||\eta - \eta_0|| < \epsilon\}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . In particular,

$$\int f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}d\lambda = \int f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}d\lambda = c$$

If c = 0, then f = 0 a.e.  $\lambda$ . If c > 0, then  $c^{-1}f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$  and  $c^{-1}f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$  are p.d.f.'s w.r.t.  $\lambda$  and this implies that their m.g.f.'s are the same in a neighborhood of 0. Thus,  $c^{-1}f_+(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t} = c^{-1}f_-(t)e^{\eta_0^{\tau}t}$ , i.e.,  $f = f_+ - f_- = 0$  a.e.  $\lambda$ . Hence T is complete.